



07/315015

Express Mail No.
009 356 44Y
PATENT DOCKET 565

5

10
30
clue

LYMPHOCYTE HOMING RECEPTORS

ans.
cl

Background of the Invention

15

This invention relates to novel lymphocyte homing receptors, to methods for making these homing receptors, and to nucleic acids encoding these receptors.

20

Lymphocytes are mediators of normal tissue inflammation as well as pathologic tissue damage such as occurs in rheumatoid arthritis and other autoimmune diseases. In order to fully exploit the antigenic repertoire of the immune system, vertebrates have evolved a mechanism for distributing lymphocytes with diverse antigenic specificities to spatially distinct regions of the organism (Butcher, E. C., Curr. Top. Micro. Immunol. 128, 85 (1986); Gallatin, W. M., et al., Cell 44, 673 (1986); Woodruff, J. J., et al., Ann. Rev. Immunol. 5, 201 (1987); Duijvestijn, A., et al., Immunol. Today 10, 23 (1989); Yednock, T. A., et al., Adv. Immunol. ^{44: 313-73} (in press) (1989)).

25
30
a



5 This mechanism involves the continuous recirculation of the lymphocytes between the blood and the lymphoid organs. The migration of lymphocytes between the blood, where the cells have the greatest degree of mobility, and the lymphoid organs, where the lymphocytes encounter sequestered and processed antigen, is initiated by an adhesive interaction between receptors on the surface of the lymphocytes and ligands on the endothelial cells of specialized postcapillary venules, e.g., high endothelial venules (HEV) and the HEV-like vessels induced in chronically inflamed
10 synovium.

The lymphocyte adhesion molecules have been termed homing receptors, since they allow these cells to localize in or "home" to particular secondary lymphoid organs.

15 Candidates for the lymphocyte homing receptor have been identified in mouse, rat and human (Gallatin, W. M., *et al.*, Nature 303, 30 (1983) Rasmussen, R. A., *et al.*, J. Immunol. 135, 19 (1985); Chin, Y. H., *et al.*, J. Immunol. 136, 2556 (1986); Jalkanen, S., *et al.*, Eur. J. Immunol. 10, 1195 (1986)). The following literature describes work which has been done in this area through the use of a monoclonal antibody, termed Mel 14, directed against a purported murine form of a lymphocyte surface protein (Gallatin, W. M., *et al.*, *supra*; Mountz, J. D., *et al.*, J. Immunol. 140, 2943 (1988); Lewinsohn, D. M., *et al.*, J. Immunol. 138, 4313 (1987); Siegelman, M., *et al.*, Science 231, 823 (1986); St. John, T., *et al.*, Science 231, 845 (1986)).

20
25
30 Immunoprecipitation experiments have shown that this antibody recognizes a diffuse, ~90,000 dalton cell surface protein on lymphocytes (Gallatin, W. M., *et al.*, *supra*) and a ~100,000 dalton protein on neutrophils (Lewinsohn, D. M., *et al.*, *supra*).

35 A partial sequence--13 residues--for a purported lymphocyte homing receptor identified by radioactively labeled amino acid

sequencing of a Mel-14 antibody-defined glycoprotein was disclosed by Siegelman *et al.* (Siegelman, M., *et al.*, Science 231, 823 (1986)).

5 Lectins are a carbohydrate-binding domain found in a variety of animals, including humans as well as the acorn barnacle and the flesh fly. The concept of lectins functioning in cell adhesion is exemplified by the interaction of certain viruses and bacteria with eucaryotic host cells (Paulson, J. C., The Receptors Vol. 2 P. M. Conn, Eds. (Academic Press, NY, 1985), pp. 131; Sharon, N., FEBS Lett. 217, 145 (1987)). In eucaryotic cell-cell interactions, adhesive functions have been inferred for endogenous lectins in a variety of systems (Grabel, L., *et al.*, Cell 17, 477 (1979); Fenderson, B., *et al.*, J. Exp. Med. 160, 1591 (1984); Kunemund, V., J. Cell Biol. 106, 213 (1988); Bischoff, R., J. Cell Biol. 102, 2273 (1986); Crocker, P. R., *et al.*, J. Exp. Med. 164, 1862 (1986); including invertebrate (Glabe, C. G., *et al.*, J. Cell Biol. 94, 123 (1982); DeAngelis, P., *et al.*, J. Biol. Chem. 262, 13946 (1987)) and vertebrate fertilization (Bleil, J. D., *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 85, 6778 (1988); Lopez, L. C., *et al.*, J. Cell Biol. 101, 1501 (1985)). The use of protein-sugar interactions as a means of achieving specific cell recognition appears to be well known.

25 The literature suggests that a lectin may be involved in the adhesive interaction between the lymphocytes and their ligands (Rosen, S. D., *et al.*, Science 228, 1005 (1985); Rosen, S. D., *et al.*, J. Immunol. ^{142(6):1895-1902} (1989); Stoolman, L. M., *et al.*, J. Cell Biol. 96, 722 (1983); Stoolman, L. M., *et al.*, J. Cell Biol. 99, 1535 (1984); Yednock, T. A., *et al.*, J. Cell Bio. 104, 725 (1987); Stoolman, L. M., *et al.*, Blood 70, 1842 (1987); A related approach by Brandley, B. K., *et al.*, J. Cell Biol. 105, 991 (1987); ~~Yednock, T. A., *et al.*, in preparation~~ and Yednock, T. A., *et al.*, J. Cell Biol. 104, 725 (1987)).

The character of a surface glycoprotein that may be involved in human lymphocyte homing was investigated with a series of monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies generically termed Hermes. These antibodies recognized a ~90,000 dalton surface glycoprotein that was found on a large number of both immune and non-immune cell types and which, by antibody pre-clearing experiments, appeared to be related to the Mel 14 antigen. (Jalkanen, S., et al., A.N.N. Rev. Med. 38, 467-476 (1987); Jalkanen, S., et al., Blood, 66 (3), 577-582 (1985); Jalkanen, S., et al., J. Cell Biol. 105, 983-990 (1987); Jalkanen, S., et al., Eur. J. Immunol. ¹⁶18, 1195-1202 (1986).

Epidermal growth factor-like domains have been found on a wide range of proteins, including growth factors, cell surface receptors, developmental gene products, extracellular matrix proteins, blood clotting factors, plasminogen activators, and complement (Doolittle, R. F., et al., CSH Symp. 51, 447 (1986)).

The inventors have characterized the lymphocyte cell surface glycoprotein (referred to hereafter as the "LHR") which mediates the binding of lymphocytes to the endothelium of lymphoid tissue.

Accordingly, it is an object of this invention to provide nucleic acid sequences encoding the LHR.

It is another object to provide a method for expression of the LHR in recombinant cell culture.

A further object is to enable the preparation of the LHR having variant amino acid sequences or glycosylation not otherwise found in nature, as well as other derivatives of the LHR having improved properties including enhanced specific activity and enhanced plasma half-life.

Summary of the Invention

1
The LHR of this invention is full-length, mature LHR, having the amino acid sequence described herein at Figs. 1 and 2, and naturally occurring alleles, or predetermined amino acid sequence or derivitization or glycosylation variants thereof.

The objects of this invention have been accomplished by a method comprising providing nucleic acid encoding the LHR; transforming a host cell with the nucleic acid; culturing the host cell to allow the LHR to accumulate and recovering the LHR.

Full length cDNA clones and DNA encoding the human and the murine LHR (HuLHR and MLHR, respectively) have been identified and isolated, and moreover this DNA is readily expressed by recombinant host cells.

Analysis of the cDNA sequence reveals that the LHR is a glycoprotein which contains the following protein domains: a signal sequence, a carbohydrate binding domain, an epidermal growth factor-like (egf) domain, at least one complement binding domain repeat, a transmembrane binding domain (TMD), and a charged intracellular domain. The LHR of this invention contains at least one but not necessarily all of these domains.

Also provided are LHR having variant amino acid sequences or glycosylation not otherwise found in nature, as well as other derivatives of the LHR having improved properties including enhanced specific activity and modified plasma half-life, as well as enabling methods for the preparation of such variants.

Polynucleotide probes are provided which are capable of hybridizing under stringent conditions to the LHR gene.

35 Brief Description of the Figures

LC16X503.CRA

Figure 1 depicts the amino acid and DNA sequence of ^athe Human LHR (HuLHR). 25m

5 Figure 2 depicts the amino acid and DNA sequence of ^athe Murine LHR (MLHR). 28m

Figure 3 shows a comparison between the amino acid sequences for ^athe mature HuLHR and MLHR. 28m

14 10 ^a Figures 4A-4C show the isolation and N-terminal sequencing of the MLHR. Fig. 4A shows an SDS-polyacrylamide gel of material purified from a detergent extract of murine spleens by Mel 14 monoclonal antibody affinity chromatography. 28m
15 results of the subsection of the 90,000 dalton band of Fig. 4A to gas phase Edman degradation. The residues underlined between amino acids 7 and 15 were chosen to produce the oligonucleotide probe shown in Fig. 4C. Fig. 4C shows as 32-fold redundant 26-mer oligonucleotide probe.

20 Figure 5 shows the transient expression of the MLHR cDNA clone. Lanes A - F signify the following:
25 --A. Lysates of 293 cells transfected with a MLHR expression plasmid immunoprecipitated with Mel 14 monoclonal antibody. --B. Supernatants of 293 cells transfected with a MLHR expression plasmid immunoprecipitated with Mel 14 monoclonal antibody. --C. Lysates of 293 cells transfected with a plasmid expressing the HIV gp120 envelope glycoprotein immunoprecipitated with the Mel 14 monoclonal antibody. --D. Supernatants of 293 cells transfected with the HIV envelope expression plasmid immunoprecipitated with the Mel 14 monoclonal antibody. --E. Supernatants of 38C13 cells immunoprecipitated with the Mel 14 monoclonal antibody. --F. Lysates of 38C13 cells surface labeled with I¹²⁵ and immunoprecipitated with the Mel 14 monoclonal antibody.
35

14

Figures 6A-6C show protein sequences which are heterologous but functionally comparable to the MLHR. Those lines labelled "MLHR" correspond to the MLHR of Fig. 2. Fig. 6A compares carbohydrate-binding domains; Fig. 6B compares epidermal growth factor domains; and Fig. 6C compares complement binding factor domains.

Figure 7 is a schematic of protein domains found in the LHR, including the signal sequence, carbohydrate binding domain, epidermal growth factor (egf) domain, two complement binding domain repeats (arrows), transmembrane binding domain (TMD), and charged intracellular domain.

De clac

Detailed Description

15

The LHR is defined as a polypeptide having a qualitative biological activity in common with the LHR of Fig. 1 or Fig. 2 and which contains a domain greater than about 70% homologous, preferably greater than about 75% homologous, and most preferably greater than about 80% homologous with the carbohydrate binding domain, the epidermal growth factor domain, or the complement carbohydrate binding domain of the LHR of Fig. 1 or Fig. 2. 2-8.2

25

Homologous is defined herein as the percentage of residues in the candidate sequence that are identical with the residues in the carbohydrate binding domain, the epidermal growth factor domain, or the complement binding domains in Fig. 1 or Fig. 2 after aligning the sequences and introducing gaps, if necessary, to achieve the maximum percent homology.

30

Included within the scope of the LHR as that term is used herein are LHRs having the amino acid sequences of the HuLHR or MLHR as set forth in Fig. 1 or 2, deglycosylated or unglycosylated derivatives of the LHR, homologous amino acid sequence variants of the sequence of Fig. 1 or 2, and homologous in-vitro-generated

variants and derivatives of the LHR, which are capable of exhibiting a biological activity in common with the LHR of Fig. 1 or Fig. 2.

5

LHR biological activity is defined as either 1) immunological cross-reactivity with at least one epitope of the LHR, or 2) the possession of at least one adhesive, regulatory or effector function qualitatively in common with the LHR.

10

One example of the qualitative biological activities of the LHR is its binding to ligands on the specialized high endothelial cells of the lymphoid tissues. Also, it frequently requires a divalent cation such as calcium for ligand binding.

15

Immunologically cross-reactive as used herein means that the candidate polypeptide is capable of competitively inhibiting the qualitative biological activity of the LHR having this activity with polyclonal antisera raised against the known active analogue. Such antisera are prepared in conventional fashion by injecting goats or rabbits, for example, subcutaneously with the known active analogue in complete Freund's adjuvant, followed by booster intraperitoneal or subcutaneous injection in incomplete Freund's.

20

3

25

34

17

14

30

17

35

Structurally, as shown in Figure 3, the LHR includes several domains which are identified as follows (within ± 10 residues): a signal sequence (residues 20-32), which is followed by a carbohydrate binding domain (identified in Fig. 3 as a "lectin" domain) (residues 39-155), an epidermal growth factor (egf) domain (residues 160-193), a complement factor binding domain (residues 197-317), a transmembrane binding domain (TMD) (residues 333-355), and a cytoplasmic domain (residues 356-372). The boundary for the LHR extracellular domain generally is at, or within about 30 residues of, the N-terminus of the transmembrane domain, and is readily identified from an inspection of the LHR sequence.

10

14
Figs. 6A - 6C show a variety of proteins having some
homology to three of these domains. Fig. 6A shows carbohydrate
binding domains, Fig. 6B shows epidermal growth factor domains, and
5 Fig. 6C shows somewhat homologous complement binding domains.

A first embodiment of this invention is the HuLHR, whose
nucleotide and amino acid sequence is shown in Fig. 1.

10 Another embodiment of the LHR of this invention is the MLHR
whose nucleotide and amino acid sequence is shown in Fig. 2.

13, 11
15 A comparison of the amino sequences of HuLHR and MLHR is
presented in Fig. 3, and shows a high degree of overall sequence
homology (~83%). The degrees of homology between the various
domains found in the HuLHR versus the MLHR, however, are variable.
For example, the degree of sequence conservation between the MLHR
and the HuLHR in both the carbohydrate-binding and egf domains is
approximately 83%, while the degree of conservation in the first
20 complement binding repeat falls to 79% and only 63% in the second
repeat, for an overall complement binding domain homology of ~71%.
Furthermore, while the two MLHR complement binding domain repeats
are identical, those in the HLHR have differences, and differ as
well to the murine repeats. Interestingly, the degree of
25 conservation between the two receptors in the transmembrane
sequence and surrounding regions is virtually identical, with only
one conservative hydrophobic substitution, probably within the
transmembrane anchor region.

30 Finally, comparison of the amino acid sequence found for
the HuLHR with that recently reported (Zhov, D., B. Secd.,
submitted for publication) for the human Hermes/CD44 antigen
showed a complete lack of homology between these proteins (data not
shown).

35

This invention is particularly concerned with amino acid sequence variants of the LHR. Amino acid sequence variants of the LHR are prepared with various objectives in mind, including increasing the affinity of the LHR for its binding partner, facilitating the stability, purification and preparation of the LHR, modifying its plasma half life, improving therapeutic efficacy, and lessening the severity or occurrence of side effects during therapeutic use of the LHR.

Amino acid sequence variants of the LHR fall into one or more of three classes: Insertional, substitutional, or deletional variants. These variants ordinarily are prepared by site specific mutagenesis of nucleotides in the DNA encoding the LHR, by which DNA encoding the variant is obtained, and thereafter expressing the DNA in recombinant cell culture. However, variant LHR fragments having up to about 100-150 amino acid residues are prepared conveniently by *in vitro* synthesis.

The amino acid sequence variants of the LHR are predetermined variants not found in nature or naturally occurring alleles. The LHR variants typically exhibit the same qualitative biological--for example, ligand binding--activity as the naturally occurring HuLHR or MLHR analogue. However, the LHR variants and derivatives that are not capable of binding to their ligands are useful nonetheless (a) as a reagent in diagnostic assays for the LHR or antibodies to the LHR, (b) when insolubilized in accord with known methods, as agents for purifying anti-LHR antibodies from antisera or hybridoma culture supernatants, and (c) as immunogens for raising antibodies to the LHR or as immunoassay kit components (labelled, as a competitive reagent for the native LHR or unlabelled as a standard for the LHR assay) so long as at least one LHR epitope remains active.

While the site for introducing an amino acid sequence variation is predetermined, the mutation *per se* need not be

predetermined. For example, in order to optimize the performance of a mutation at a given site, random or saturation mutagenesis (where all 20 possible residues are inserted) is conducted at the target codon and the expressed LHR variant is screened for the optimal combination of desired activities. Such screening is within the ordinary skill in the art.

Amino acid insertions usually will be on the order of about from 1 to 10 amino acid residues; substitutions are typically introduced for single residues; and deletions will range about from 1 to 30 residues. Deletions or insertions preferably are made in adjacent pairs, i.e. a deletion of 2 residues or insertion of 2 residues. It will be amply apparent from the following discussion that substitutions, deletions, insertions or any combination thereof are introduced or combined to arrive at a final construct.

Insertional amino acid sequence variants of the LHR are those in which one or more amino acid residues extraneous to the LHR are introduced into a predetermined site in the target LHR and which displace the preexisting residues.

Commonly, insertional variants are fusions of heterologous proteins or polypeptides to the amino or carboxyl terminus of the LHR. Such variants are referred to as fusions of the LHR and a polypeptide containing a sequence which is other than that which is normally found in the LHR at the inserted position. Several groups of fusions are contemplated herein.

Immunologically active LHR derivatives and fusions comprise the LHR and a polypeptide containing a non-LHR epitope, and are within the scope of this invention. The non-LHR epitope is any immunologically competent polypeptide, i.e., any polypeptide which is capable of eliciting an immune response in the animal to which the fusion is to be administered or which is capable of being bound by an antibody raised against the non-LHR polypeptide.

Typical non-LHR epitopes will be those which are borne by allergens, autoimmune epitopes, or other potent immunogens or antigens recognized by pre-existing antibodies in the fusion recipient, including bacterial polypeptides such as trpLE, beta-galactosidase, viral polypeptides such as herpes gD protein, and the like.

Immunogenic fusions are produced by cross-linking *in vitro* or by recombinant cell culture transformed with DNA encoding an immunogenic polypeptide. It is preferable that the immunogenic fusion be one in which the immunogenic sequence is joined to or inserted into the LHR or fragment thereof by a peptide bond(s). These products therefore consist of a linear polypeptide chain containing the LHR epitope and at least one epitope foreign to the LHR. It will be understood that it is within the scope of this invention to introduce the epitopes anywhere within the LHR molecule or fragment thereof.

Such fusions are conveniently made in recombinant host cells or by the use of bifunctional cross-linking agents. The use of a cross-linking agent to fuse the LHR to the immunogenic polypeptide is not as desirable as a linear fusion because the cross-linked products are not as easily synthesized in structurally homogeneous form.

These immunogenic insertions are particularly useful when formulated into a pharmacologically acceptable carrier and administered to a subject in order to raise antibodies against the LHR, which antibodies in turn are useful in diagnostics or in purification of the LHR by immunoaffinity techniques known *per se*. Alternatively, in the purification of the LHR, binding partners for the fused non-LHR polypeptide, e.g. antibodies, receptors or ligands, are used to adsorb the fusion from impure admixtures,

after which the fusion is eluted and, if desired, the LHR is recovered from the fusion, e.g. by enzymatic cleavage.

5 Other fusions, which may or may not also be immunologically active, include fusions of the mature LHR sequence with a signal sequence heterologous to the LHR, and fusions of the LHR to polypeptides having enhanced plasma half life (ordinarily >about 20 hours) such as immunoglobulin chains or fragments thereof.

10 Signal sequence fusions are employed in order to more expeditiously direct the secretion of the LHR. The heterologous signal replaces the native LHR signal, and when the resulting fusion is recognized, i.e. processed and cleaved by the host cell, the LHR is secreted. Signals are selected based on the intended
15 host cell, and may include bacterial yeast, mammalian and viral sequences. The native LHR signal or the herpes gD glycoprotein signal is suitable for use in mammalian expression systems.

20 Plasma proteins which have enhanced plasma half-life longer than that of the transmembrane modified LHR include serum albumin, immunoglobulins, apolipoproteins, and transferrin, and desirably are fused with the LHR. Preferably, the LHR-plasma protein fusion is not significantly immunogenic in the animal in which it is used (i.e., it is homologous to the therapeutic target) and the plasma
25 protein does not cause undesirable side effects in patients by virtue of its normal biological activity.

30 The LHR extracellular domain generally is fused at its C-terminus to the immunoglobulin constant region. The precise site at which the fusion is made is not critical; other sites neighboring or within the extracellular region may be selected in order to optimize the secretion or binding characteristics of the soluble LHR. The optimal site will be determined by routine experimentation. The fusion may typically take the place of either
35 or both the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains.

Substitutional variants are those in which at least one residue in the Fig. 1 or 2 sequence has been removed and a different residue inserted in its place. Such substitutions generally are made in accordance with the following Table 1 when it is desired to finely modulate the characteristics of the LHR.

TABLE 1

	<u>Original Residue</u>	<u>Exemplary Substitutions</u>
10	Ala	ser
	Arg	lys
	Asn	gln; his
	Asp	glu
15	Cys	ser; ala
	Gln	asn
	Glu	asp
	Gly	pro
	His	asn; gln
20	Ile	leu; val
	Leu	ile; val
	Lys	arg; gln; glu
	Met	leu; ile
	Phe	met; leu; tyr
25	Ser	thr
	Thr	ser
	Trp	tyr
	Tyr	trp; phe
30	Val	ile; leu

Novel amino acid sequences, as well as isosteric analogs (amino acid or otherwise), as included within the scope of this invention.

Substantial changes in function or immunological identity are made by selecting substitutions that are less conservative than those in Table 1, i.e., selecting residues that differ more significantly in their effect on maintaining (a) the structure of the polypeptide backbone in the area of the substitution, for example as a sheet or helical conformation, (b) the charge or hydrophobicity of the molecule at the target site or (c) the bulk of the side chain. The substitutions which in general are expected to produce the greatest changes in LHR properties will be those in which (a) a hydrophilic residue, e.g. seryl or threonyl, is substituted for (or by) a hydrophobic residue, e.g. leucyl, isoleucyl, phenylalanyl, valyl or alanyl; (b) a cysteine or proline is substituted for (or by) any other residue; (c) a residue having an electropositive side chain, e.g., lysyl, arginyl, or histidyl, is substituted for (or by) an electronegative residue, e.g., glutamyl or aspartyl; or (d) a residue having a bulky side chain, e.g., phenylalanine, is substituted for (or by) one not having a side chain, e.g., glycine.

Some deletions, insertions, and substitutions will not produce radical changes in the characteristics of the LHR molecule. However, when it is difficult to predict the exact effect of the substitution, deletion, or insertion in advance of doing so, for example when modifying the LHR carbohydrate binding domain or an immune epitope, one skilled in the art will appreciate that the effect will be evaluated by routine screening assays. For example, a variant typically is made by site specific mutagenesis of the LHR-encoding nucleic acid, expression of the variant nucleic acid in recombinant cell culture and, optionally, purification from the cell culture for example by immunoaffinity adsorption on a polyclonal anti-LHR column (in order to adsorb the variant by at least one remaining immune epitope). The activity of the cell lysate or purified LHR variant is then screened in a suitable screening assay for the desired characteristic. For example, a change in the immunological character of the LHR, such as affinity

for a given antibody such as Mel-14, is measured by a competitive-type immunoassay. As more becomes known about the functions *in vivo* of the LHR other assays will become useful in such screening. Modifications of such protein properties as redox or thermal stability, hydrophobicity, susceptibility to proteolytic degradation, or the tendency to aggregate with carriers or into multimers are assayed by methods well known to the artisan.

Substitutional variants of the LHR also include variants where functionally homologous (having at least ~70% homology) to domains of other proteins are substituted by routine methods for one or more of the above-identified LHR domains. Figs. 6A-6C may be used by those skilled in the art for sources for such substitutable domains. For example, the flesh fly lectin whose sequence is shown in Fig. 6A may be modified to rise to the level of at least ~70% homology with the carbohydrate binding domain of the LHR, and then substituted for that domain. Similarly, coagulation Factor X, whose sequence is shown in Fig. 6B may be modified to rise to the level of at least ~70% homology with the egf-domain of the LHR, and then substituted for that domain. Similar substitutions may desirably be made for the signal sequence, the complement binding domain, the transmembrane domain, and for the cytoplasmic domain. Only substitutions of such functionally homologous domains of other proteins which are free from all flanking regions of proteins other than the LHR are within the scope of this invention.

Another class of LHR variants are deletional variants. Deletions are characterized by the removal of one or more amino acid residues from the LHR sequence. Typically, the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains, or only the cytoplasmic domains of the LHR are deleted. However, deletion from the LHR C-terminal to any other suitable site N-terminal to the transmembrane region which preserves the biological activity or immune cross-reactivity of the LHR is suitable. Excluded from the scope of deletional variants

13, 11
are the protein digestion fragments heretofore obtained in the course of elucidating amino acid sequences of the LHR, and protein fragments having less than ~70% sequence homology to any of the above-identified LHR domains.

5

Embodiments of this invention include DNA sequences encoding fragments of the LHR, such as the complement binding domain, the carbohydrate domain, and the epidermal growth factor domain. The complement binding domain finds usefulness in the diagnosis and treatment of complement-mediated diseases, as well as in the oligomerization of the LHR with itself or with other components on the lymphocyte surface.

10
Deletions of cysteine or other labile residues also may be desirable, for example in increasing the oxidative stability of the LHR. Deletion or substitutions of potential proteolysis sites, e.g. Arg Arg, is accomplished by deleting one of the basic residues or substituting one by glutamyl or histidyl residues.

15
In one embodiment, the LHR is comprised of the carbohydrate binding domain in the absence of a complement binding domain and/or the egf domain. This embodiment may or may not contain either or both the transmembrane and cytoplasmic regions.

20
A preferred class of substitutional or deletional variants are those involving a transmembrane region of the LHR. Transmembrane regions of LHR subunits are highly hydrophobic or lipophilic domains that are the proper size to span the lipid bilayer of the cellular membrane. They are believed to anchor the LHR in the cell membrane, and allow for homo- or heteropolymeric complex formation with the LHR.

25
Inactivation of the transmembrane domain, typically by deletion or substitution of transmembrane domain hydroxylation residues, will facilitate recovery and formulation by reducing its

cellular or membrane lipid affinity and improving its aqueous solubility. If the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains are deleted one avoids the introduction of potentially immunogenic epitopes, either by exposure of otherwise intracellular polypeptides that might be recognized by the body as foreign or by insertion of heterologous polypeptides that are potentially immunogenic. Inactivation of the membrane binding function is accomplished by deletion of sufficient residues to produce a substantially hydrophilic hydropathy profile at this site or by substituting with heterologous residues which accomplish the same result.

A principal advantage of the transmembrane inactivated LHR is that it may be secreted into the culture medium of recombinant hosts. This variant is soluble in body fluids such as blood and does not have an appreciable affinity for cell membrane lipids, thus considerably simplifying its recovery from recombinant cell culture.

As a general proposition, all variants will not have a functional transmembrane domain and preferably will not have a functional cytoplasmic sequence.

For example, the transmembrane domain may be substituted by any amino acid sequence, e.g. a random or predetermined sequence of about 5 to 50 serine, threonine, lysine, arginine, glutamine, aspartic acid and like hydrophilic residues, which altogether exhibit a hydrophilic hydropathy profile. Like the deletional (truncated) LHR, these variants are secreted into the culture medium of recombinant hosts.

Examples of HuLHR amino acid sequence variants are described in the table below. The residue following the residue number indicates the replacement or inserted amino acids.

35

Table 2

	<u>Substitutions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>
5	Arg58-Asp59: Lys-Glu Ala71: Ser Lys78: Gln Asp116: Glu Leu150: Val	Gly96-Ile97 Asn136 Ser166 Ser220 Asn271
10	His168: Gln Ile174: Leu Asn181: Gln Thr211: Ser Phe214: Leu	Ile296
15	Ser226: Thr Phe244: Met Thr282: Ser Ile288: Val Lys298-Lys299: Arg-Arg	<u>Insertions</u> 67-Glu-Ser-Ala 83-Gly-Thr-Thr 209-Asn 241-Val-Glu-Asn 292-Tyr-Tyr-Tyr
20	Ile302: Leu	

Preferably, the variants represent conservative substitutions. It will be understood that some variants may exhibit reduced or absent biological activity. These variants nonetheless are useful as standards in immunoassays for the LHR so long as they retain at least one immune epitope of the LHR.

Glycosylation variants are included within the scope of the HuLHR. They include variants completely lacking in glycosylation (unglycosylated) and variants having at least one less glycosylated site than the native form (deglycosylated) as well as variants in which the glycosylation has been changed. Included are deglycosylated and unglycosylated amino acid sequence variants, deglycosylated and unglycosylated LHR having the native, unmodified amino acid sequence of the LHR, and other glycosylation variants. For example, substitutional or deletional mutagenesis is employed to eliminate the N- or O-linked glycosylation sites of the LHR, e.g., the asparagine residue is deleted or substituted for by another basic residue such as lysine or histidine. Alternatively,

flanking residues making up the glycosylation site are substituted or deleted, even though the asparagine residues remain unchanged, in order to prevent glycosylation by eliminating the glycosylation recognition site.

5

Additionally, unglycosylated LHR which has the amino acid sequence of the native LHR is produced in recombinant prokaryotic cell culture because prokaryotes are incapable of introducing glycosylation into polypeptides.

10

Glycosylation variants are produced by selecting appropriate host cells or by *in vitro* methods. Yeast, for example, introduce glycosylation which varies significantly from that of mammalian systems. Similarly, mammalian cells having a different species (e.g. hamster, murine, insect, porcine, bovine or ovine) or tissue origin (e.g. lung, liver, lymphoid, mesenchymal or epidermal) than the source of the LHR are routinely screened for the ability to introduce variant glycosylation as characterized for example by elevated levels of mannose or variant ratios of mannose, fucose, sialic acid, and other sugars typically found in mammalian glycoproteins. *In vitro* processing of the LHR typically is accomplished by enzymatic hydrolysis, e.g. neuraminidase digestion.

15

20

25

Covalent modifications of the LHR molecule are included within the scope hereof. Such modifications are introduced by reacting targeted amino acid residues of the recovered protein with an organic derivatizing agent that is capable of reacting with selected side chains or terminal residues, or by harnessing mechanisms of post-translational modification that function in selected recombinant host cells. The resulting covalent derivatives are useful in programs directed at identifying residues important for biological activity, for immunoassays of the LHR or for the preparation of anti-LHR antibodies for immunoaffinity purification of the recombinant LHR. For example, complete

30

35

inactivation of the biological activity of the protein after reaction with ninhydrin would suggest that at least one arginyl or lysyl residue is critical for its activity, whereafter the individual residues which were modified under the conditions selected are identified by isolation of a peptide fragment containing the modified amino acid residue. Such modifications are within the ordinary skill in the art and are performed without undue experimentation.

Derivatization with bifunctional agents is useful for preparing intermolecular aggregates of the protein with immunogenic polypeptides as well as for cross-linking the protein to a water insoluble support matrix or surface for use in the assay or affinity purification of antibody. In addition, a study of intrachain cross-links will provide direct information on conformational structure. Commonly used cross-linking agents include 1,1-bis(diazoacetyl)-2-phenylethane, glutaraldehyde, N-hydroxysuccinimide esters, for example esters with 4-azidosalicylic acid, homobifunctional imidoesters including disuccinimidyl esters such as 3,3'-dithiobis (succinimidyl-propionate), and bifunctional maleimides such as bis-N-maleimido-1,8-octane. Derivatizing agents such as methyl-3-[(p-azidophenyl)dithio] propioimide yield photoactivatable intermediates which are capable of forming cross-links in the presence of light. Alternatively, reactive water insoluble matrices such as cyanogen bromide activated carbohydrates and the systems reactive substrates described in U.S. patents 3,959,080; 3,969,287; 3,691,016; 4,195,128; 4,247,642; 4,229,537; 4,055,635; and 4,330,440 are employed for protein immobilization and cross-linking.

Certain post-translational derivatizations are the result of the action of recombinant host cells on the expressed polypeptide. Glutaminyl and asparaginyl residues are frequently post-translationally deamidated to the corresponding glutamyl and aspartyl residues. Alternatively, these residues are deamidated

under mildly acidic conditions. Either form of these residues falls within the scope of this invention.

5 Other post-translational modifications include
hydroxylation of proline and lysine, phosphorylation of hydroxyl
groups of seryl or threonyl residues, methylation of the α -amino
groups of lysine, arginine, and histidine side chains (T.E.
Creighton, Proteins: Structure and Molecular Properties, W.H.
Freeman & Co., San Francisco pp 79-86 [1983]), acetylation of the
10 N-terminal amine and, in some instances, amidation of the C-
terminal carboxyl.

15 Other derivatives comprise the polypeptide of this
invention covalently bonded to a nonproteinaceous polymer. The
nonproteinaceous polymer ordinarily is a hydrophilic synthetic
polymer, i.e., a polymer not otherwise found in nature. However,
polymers which exist in nature and are produced by recombinant or
in vitro methods are useful, as are polymers which are isolated
from nature. Hydrophilic polyvinyl polymers fall within the scope
20 of this invention, e.g. polyvinylalcohol and polyvinylpyrrolidone.
Particularly useful are polyalkylene ethers such as polyethylene
glycol, polypropylene glycol, polyoxyethylene esters or methoxy
polyethylene glycol; polyoxyalkylenes such as polyoxyethylene,
polyoxypropylene, and block copolymers of polyoxyethylene and
25 polyoxypropylene (Pluronic); polymethacrylates; carbomers;
branched or unbranched polysaccharides which comprise the
saccharide monomers D-mannose, D- and L-galactose, fucose,
fructose, D-xylose, L-arabinose, D-glucuronic acid, sialic acid, D-
galacturonic acid, D-mannuronic acid (e.g. polymannuronic acid, or
30 alginic acid), D-glucosamine, D-galactosamine, D-glucose and
neuraminic acid including homopolysaccharides and
heteropolysaccharides such as lactose, amylopectin, starch,
hydroxyethyl starch, amylose, dextran sulfate, dextran, dextrans,
glycogen, or the polysaccharide subunit of acid mucopoly-

saccharides, e.g. hyaluronic acid; polymers of sugar alcohols such as polysorbitol and polymannitol; and heparin or heparan.

5 Where the polysaccharide is the native glycosylation or the glycosylation attendant on recombinant expression, the site of substitution may be located at other than a native N or O-linked glycosylation site wherein an additional or substitute N or O-linked site has been introduced into the molecule. Mixtures of such polymers may be employed, or the polymer may be homogeneous.
10 The polymer prior to crosslinking need not be, but preferably is, water soluble, but the final conjugate must be water soluble. In addition, the polymer should not be highly immunogenic in the conjugate form, nor should it possess viscosity that is incompatible with intravenous infusion or injection if it is
15 intended to be administered by such routes.

Preferably the polymer contains only a single group which is reactive. This helps to avoid cross-linking of protein molecules. However, it is within the scope herein to optimize
20 reaction conditions to reduce cross-linking, or to purify the reaction products through gel filtration or chromatographic sieves to recover substantially homogeneous derivatives.

The molecular weight of the polymer may desirably range
25 from about 100 to 500,000, and preferably is from about 1,000 to 20,000. The molecular weight chosen will depend upon the nature of the polymer and the degree of substitution. In general, the greater the hydrophilicity of the polymer and the greater the degree of substitution, the lower the molecular weight that can be
30 employed. Optimal molecular weights will be determined by routine experimentation.

The polymer generally is covalently linked to the polypeptide herein through a multifunctional crosslinking agent
35 which reacts with the polymer and one or more amino acid or sugar

Page 24

IS MISSING

modified by enzyme digestion to remove sugars, e.g. by neuraminidase digestion, prior to polymer derivatization.

5 The polymer will bear a group which is directly reactive with an amino acid side chain, or the N- or C- terminus of the polypeptide herein, or which is reactive with the multifunctional cross-linking agent. In general, polymers bearing such reactive groups are known for the preparation of immobilized proteins. In order to use such chemistries here, one should employ a water
10 soluble polymer otherwise derivatized in the same fashion as insoluble polymers heretofore employed for protein immobilization. Cyanogen bromide activation is a particularly useful procedure to employ in crosslinking polysaccharides.

15 "Water soluble" in reference to the starting polymer means that the polymer or its reactive intermediate used for conjugation is sufficiently water soluble to participate in a derivatization reaction.

20 "Water soluble" in reference to the polymer conjugate means that the conjugate is soluble in physiological fluids such as blood.

The degree of substitution with such a polymer will vary depending upon the number of reactive sites on the protein, whether
25 all or a fragment of the protein is used, whether the protein is a fusion with a heterologous protein, the molecular weight, hydrophilicity and other characteristics of the polymer, and the particular protein derivatization sites chosen. In general, the conjugate contains about from 1 to 10 polymer molecules, while any
30 heterologous sequence may be substituted with an essentially unlimited number of polymer molecules so long as the desired activity is not significantly adversely affected. The optimal degree of crosslinking is easily determined by an experimental matrix in which the time, temperature and other reaction conditions
35 are varied to change the degree of substitution, after which the

ability of the conjugates to function in the desired fashion is determined.

The polymer, e.g. PEG, is crosslinked by a wide variety of methods known *per se* for the covalent modification of proteins with nonproteinaceous polymers such as PEG. Certain of these methods, however, are not preferred for the purposes herein. Cyanuric chloride chemistry leads to many side reactions, including protein cross-linking. In addition, it may be particularly likely to lead to inactivation of proteins containing sulfhydryl groups. Carbonyl diimidazole chemistry (Beauchamp *et al.*, "Anal. Biochem." 131:25-33 [1983]) requires high pH (>8.5), which can inactivate proteins. Moreover, since the "activated PEG" intermediate can react with water, a very large molar excess of "activated PEG" over protein is required. The high concentrations of PEG required for the carbonyl diimidazole chemistry also led to problems with purification, as both gel filtration chromatography and hydrophobic interaction chromatography are adversely effected. In addition, the high concentrations of "activated PEG" may precipitate protein, a problem that *per se* has been noted previously (Davis, U.S. Patent 4,179,337). On the other hand, aldehyde chemistry (Royer, U.S. Patent 4,002,531) is more efficient since it requires only a 40 fold molar excess of PEG and a 1-2 hr incubation. However, the manganese dioxide suggested by Royer for preparation of the PEG aldehyde is problematic "because of the pronounced tendency of PEG to form complexes with metal-based oxidizing agents" (Harris *et al.*, "J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed." 22:341-352 [1984]). The use of a Moffatt oxidation, utilizing DMSO and acetic anhydride, obviates this problem. In addition, the sodium borohydride suggested by Royer must be used at a high pH and has a significant tendency to reduce disulfide bonds. In contrast, sodium cyanoborohydride, which is effective at neutral pH and has very little tendency to reduce disulfide bonds is preferred.

The conjugates of this invention are separated from unreacted starting materials by gel filtration. Heterologous species of the conjugates are purified from one another in the same fashion.

The polymer also may be water insoluble, as a hydrophilic gel or a shaped article such as surgical tubing in the form of catheters or drainage conduits.

DNA encoding the LHR is synthesized by *in vitro* methods or is obtained readily from lymphocyte cDNA libraries. The means for synthetic creation of the DNA encoding the LHR, either by hand or with an automated apparatus, are generally known to one of ordinary skill in the art, particularly in light of the teachings contained herein. As examples of the current state of the art relating to polynucleotide synthesis, one is directed to Maniatis *et al.*, *Molecular Cloning--A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (1984), and Horvath *et al.*, *An Automated DNA Synthesizer Employing Deoxynucleoside 3'-Phosphoramidites*, *Methods in Enzymology* 154: 313-326, 1987, hereby specifically incorporated by reference.

Alternatively, to obtain DNA encoding the LHR from sources other than murine or human, since the entire DNA sequence for the preferred embodiment of the HuLHR (Fig. 1) and of the MLHR (Fig. 2) are given, one needs only to conduct hybridization screening with labelled DNA encoding either HuLHR or MLHR or fragments thereof (usually, greater than about 20, and ordinarily about 50bp) in order to detect clones which contain homologous sequences in the cDNA libraries derived from the lymphocytes of the particular animal, followed by analyzing the clones by restriction enzyme analysis and nucleic acid sequencing to identify full-length clones. If full length clones are not present in the library, then appropriate fragments are recovered from the various clones and ligated at restriction sites common to the fragments to assemble a

full-length clone. DNA encoding the LHR from other animal species is obtained by probing libraries from such species with the human or murine sequences, or by synthesizing the genes *in vitro*.

5 Included within the scope hereof are nucleic acid sequences
that hybridize under stringent conditions to a fragment of the DNA
14 sequence in Fig. 1 or Fig. 2, which fragment is greater than about
10 bp, preferably 20-50 bp, and even greater than 100 bp. Also
10 included within the scope hereof are nucleic acid sequences that
hybridize under stringent conditions to a fragment of the LHR other
than the signal, or transmembrane, or cytoplasmic domains.

Included also within the scope hereof are nucleic acid
probes which are capable of hybridizing under stringent conditions
15 to the cDNA of the LHR or to the genomic gene for the LHR
17 (including introns and 5' or 3' flanking regions extending to the
adjacent genes or about 5,000 bp, whichever is greater).

Identification of the genomic DNA for the LHR is a
20 straight-forward matter of probing a particular genomic library
with the cDNA or its fragments which have been labelled with a
detectable group, e.g. radiophosphorus, and recovering clone(s)
containing the gene. The complete gene is pieced together by
"walking" if necessary. Typically, such probes do not encode
25 sequences with less than 70% homology to HuLHR or MLHR, and they
range from about from 10 to 100 bp in length.

In general, prokaryotes are used for cloning of DNA
30 sequences in constructing the vectors useful in the invention. For
example, E. coli K12 strain 294 (ATCC No. 31446) is particularly
useful. Other microbial strains which may be used include E. coli
B and E. coli X1776 (ATCC No. 31537). These examples are
illustrative rather than limiting. Alternatively, *in vitro* methods
35 of cloning, e.g. polymerase chain reaction, are suitable.

The LHR of this invention are expressed directly in recombinant cell culture as an N-terminal methionyl analogue, or as a fusion with a polypeptide heterologous to the LHR, preferably a signal sequence or other polypeptide having a specific cleavage site at the N-terminus of the LHR. For example, in constructing a prokaryotic secretory expression vector for the LHR, the native LHR signal is employed with hosts that recognize that signal. When the secretory leader is "recognized" by the host, the host signal peptidase is capable of cleaving a fusion of the leader polypeptide fused at its C-terminus to the desired mature LHR. For host prokaryotes that do not process the LHR signal, the signal is substituted by a prokaryotic signal selected for example from the group of the alkaline phosphatase, penicillinase, lpp or heat stable enterotoxin II leaders. For yeast secretion the human LHR signal may be substituted by the yeast invertase, alpha factor or acid phosphatase leaders. In mammalian cell expression the native signal is satisfactory for mammalian LHR, although other mammalian secretory protein signals are suitable, as are viral secretory leaders, for example the herpes simplex gD signal.

The LHR may be expressed in any host cell, but preferably are synthesized in mammalian hosts. However, host cells from prokaryotes, fungi, yeast, insects and the like are also used for expression. Exemplary prokaryotes are the strains suitable for cloning as well as *E. coli* W3110 (F⁻, λ⁻, prototrophic, ATTC No. 27325), other enterobacteriaceae such as *Serratia marcescans*, bacilli and various pseudomonads. Preferably the host cell should secrete minimal amounts of proteolytic enzymes.

Expression hosts typically are transformed with DNA encoding the LHR which has been ligated into an expression vector. Such vectors ordinarily carry a replication site (although this is not necessary where chromosomal integration will occur). Expression vectors also include marker sequences which are capable of

1 providing phenotypic selection in transformed cells, as will be
discussed further below. For example, *E. coli* is typically
transformed using pBR322, a plasmid derived from an *E. coli* species
(Bolivar, et al., Gene 2: 95 [1977]). pBR322 contains genes for
5 ampicillin and tetracycline resistance and thus provides easy means
for identifying transformed cells, whether for purposes of cloning
or expression. Expression vectors also optimally will contain
sequences which are useful for the control of transcription and
translation, e.g., promoters and Shine-Dalgarno sequences (for
10 prokaryotes) or promoters and enhancers (for mammalian cells). The
promoters may be, but need not be, inducible; surprisingly, even
powerful constitutive promoters such as the CMV promoter for
mammalian hosts have been found to produce the LHR without host
cell toxicity. While it is conceivable that expression vectors
15 need not contain any expression control, replicative sequences or
selection genes, their absence may hamper the identification of LHR
transformants and the achievement of high level LHR expression.

20 Promoters suitable for use with prokaryotic hosts
illustratively include the β -lactamase and lactose promoter systems
(Chang et al., "Nature", 275: 615 [1978]; and Goeddel et al.,
"Nature" 281: 544 [1979]), alkaline phosphatase, the tryptophan
(trp) promoter system (Goeddel "Nucleic Acids Res." 8: 4057 [1980]
and EPO Appln. Publ. No. 36,776) and hybrid promoters such as the
25 tac promoter (H. de Boer et al., "Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA" 80:
21-25 [1983]). However, other functional bacterial promoters are
suitable. Their nucleotide sequences are generally known, thereby
enabling a skilled worker operably to ligate them to DNA encoding
the LHR (Siebenlist et al., "Cell" 20: 269 [1980]) using linkers or
30 adaptors to supply any required restriction sites. Promoters for
use in bacterial systems also will contain a Shine-Dalgarno (S.D.)
sequence operably linked to the DNA encoding the LHR.

35 In addition to prokaryotes, eukaryotic microbes such as
yeast or filamentous fungi are satisfactory. Saccharomyces

cerevisiae is the most commonly used eukaryotic microorganism, although a number of other strains are commonly available. The plasmid YRp7 is a satisfactory expression vector in yeast (Stinchcomb, *et al.*, Nature 282: 39 [1979]; Kingsman *et al.*, Gene 7: 141 [1979]; Tschemper *et al.*, Gene 10: 157 [1980]). This plasmid already contains the *trp1* gene which provides a selection marker for a mutant strain of yeast lacking the ability to grow in tryptophan, for example ATCC no. 44076 or PEP4-1 (Jones, Genetics 85: 12 [1977]). The presence of the *trp1* lesion as a characteristic of the yeast host cell genome then provides an effective environment for detecting transformation by growth in the absence of tryptophan.

Suitable promoting sequences for use with yeast hosts include the promoters for 3-phosphoglycerate kinase (Hitzeman *et al.*, "J. Biol. Chem." 255: 2073 [1980]) or other glycolytic enzymes (Hess *et al.*, "J. Adv. Enzyme Reg." 7: 149 [1968]; and Holland, "Biochemistry" 17: 4900 [1978]), such as enolase, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, hexokinase, pyruvate decarboxylase, phosphofructokinase, glucose-6-phosphate isomerase, 3-phosphoglycerate mutase, pyruvate kinase, triosephosphate isomerase, phosphoglucose isomerase, and glucokinase.

Other yeast promoters, which are inducible promoters having the additional advantage of transcription controlled by growth conditions, are the promoter regions for alcohol dehydrogenase 2, isocytochrome C, acid phosphatase, degradative enzymes associated with nitrogen metabolism, metallothionein, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, and enzymes responsible for maltose and galactose utilization. Suitable vectors and promoters for use in yeast expression are further described in R. Hitzeman *et al.*, European Patent Publication No. 73,657A.

Expression control sequences are known for eukaryotes. Virtually all eukaryotic genes have an AT-rich region located

approximately 25 to 30 bases upstream from the site where transcription is initiated. Another sequence found 70 to 80 bases upstream from the start of transcription of many genes is a CXCAAT region where X may be any nucleotide. At the 3' end of most eukaryotic genes is an AATAAA sequence which may be the signal for addition of the poly A tail to the 3' end of the coding sequence. All of these sequences are inserted into mammalian expression vectors.

Suitable promoters for controlling transcription from vectors in mammalian host cells are readily obtained from various sources, for example, the genomes of viruses such as polyoma virus, SV40, adenovirus, MMV (steroid inducible), retroviruses (e.g. the LTR of HIV), hepatitis-B virus and most preferably cytomegalovirus, or from heterologous mammalian promoters, e.g. the beta actin promoter. The early and late promoters of SV40 are conveniently obtained as an SV40 restriction fragment which also contains the SV40 viral origin of replication. Fiers *et al.*, Nature, 273: 113 (1978). The immediate early promoter of the human cytomegalovirus is conveniently obtained as a HindIII E restriction fragment. Greenaway, P.J. *et al.*, Gene 18: 355-360 (1982).

Transcription of a DNA encoding the LHR by higher eukaryotes is increased by inserting an enhancer sequence into the vector. Enhancers are cis-acting elements of DNA, usually about from 10-300bp, that act on a promoter to increase its transcription. Enhancers are relatively orientation and position independent having been found 5' (Laimins, L. *et al.*, PNAS 78: 993 [1981]) and 3' (Lusky, M.L., *et al.*, Mol. Cell Bio. 3: 1108 [1983]) to the transcription unit, within an intron (Banerji, J.L. *et al.*, Cell 33: 729 [1983]) as well as within the coding sequence itself (Osborne, T.F., *et al.*, Mol. Cell Bio. 4: 1293 [1984]). Many enhancer sequences are now known from mammalian genes (globin, elastase, albumin, α -fetoprotein and insulin). Typically, however, one will use an enhancer from a eukaryotic cell virus. Examples

14 include the SV40 enhancer on the late side of the replication origin (bp 100-270), the cytomegalovirus early promoter enhancer, the polyoma enhancer on the late side of the replication origin, and adenovirus enhancers.

5 Expression vectors used in eukaryotic host cells (yeast, fungi, insect, plant, animal, human or nucleated cells from other multicellular organisms) will also contain sequences necessary for the termination of transcription which may affect mRNA expression. 10 These regions are transcribed as polyadenylated segments in the untranslated portion of the mRNA encoding the LHR. The 3' untranslated regions also include transcription termination sites.

15 Expression vectors may contain a selection gene, also termed a selectable marker. Examples of suitable selectable markers for mammalian cells are dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR), thymidine kinase (TK) or neomycin. When such selectable markers are successfully transferred into a mammalian host cell, the transformed mammalian host cell is able to survive if placed under selective pressure. There are two widely used distinct categories 20 of selective regimes. The first category is based on a cell's metabolism and the use of a mutant cell line which lacks the ability to grow independent of a supplemented media. Two examples 25 are CHO DHFR⁻ cells and mouse LTK⁻ cells. These cells lack the ability to grow without the addition of such nutrients as thymidine or hypoxanthine. Because these cells lack certain genes necessary for a complete nucleotide synthesis pathway, they cannot survive unless the missing nucleotides are provided in a supplemented media. An alternative to supplementing the media is to introduce 30 an intact DHFR or TK gene into cells lacking the respective genes, thus altering their growth requirements. Individual cells which were not transformed with the DHFR or TK gene will not be capable of survival in non supplemented media.

The second category of selective regimes is dominant selection which refers to a selection scheme used in any cell type and does not require the use of a mutant cell line. These schemes typically use a drug to arrest growth of a host cell. Those cells which are successfully transformed with a heterologous gene express a protein conferring drug resistance and thus survive the selection regimen. Examples of such dominant selection use the drugs neomycin (Southern *et al.*, J. Molec. Appl. Genet. 1: 327 (1982)), mycophenolic acid (Mulligan *et al.*, Science 209: 1422 (1980)) or hygromycin (Sugden *et al.*, Mol. Cell. Biol. 5: 410-413 (1985)). The three examples given above employ bacterial genes under eukaryotic control to convey resistance to the appropriate drug G418 or neomycin (geneticin), xgpt (mycophenolic acid) or hygromycin, respectively.

15

Suitable eukaryotic host cells for expressing the LHR include monkey kidney CV1 line transformed by SV40 (COS-7, ATCC CRL 1651); human embryonic kidney line (293 or 293 cells subcloned for growth in suspension culture, Graham, F.L. *et al.*, J. Gen Virol. 36: 59 [1977]); baby hamster kidney cells (BHK, ATCC CCL 10); chinese hamster ovary-cells-DHFR (CHO, Urlaub and Chasin, PNAS (USA) 77: 4216, [1980]); mouse sertoli cells (TM4, Mather, J.P., Biol. Reprod. 23: 243-251 [1980]); monkey kidney cells (CV1 ATCC CCL 70); african green monkey kidney cells (VERO-76, ATCC CRL-1587); human cervical carcinoma cells (HELA, ATCC CCL 2); canine kidney cells (MDCK, ATCC CCL 34); buffalo rat liver cells (BRL 3A, ATCC CRL 1442); human lung cells (W138, ATCC CCL 75); human liver cells (Hep G2, HB 8065); mouse mammary tumor (MMT 060562, ATCC CCL51); and, TRI cells (Mather, J.P. *et al.*, Annals N.Y. Acad. Sci. 383: 44-68 [1982]).

14/ 30

Construction of suitable vectors containing the desired coding and control sequences employ standard ligation techniques. Isolated plasmids or DNA fragments are cleaved, tailored, and religated in the form desired to form the plasmids required.

1
For analysis to confirm correct sequences in plasmids constructed, the ligation mixtures are used to transform *E. coli* K12 strain 294 (ATCC 31446) and successful transformants selected by ampicillin or tetracycline resistance where appropriate. Plasmids from the transformants are prepared, analyzed by restriction and/or sequenced by the method of Messing *et al.*, Nucleic Acids Res. 9: 309 (1981) or by the method of Maxam *et al.*, Methods in Enzymology 65: 499 (1980).

10
Host cells are transformed with the expression vectors of this invention and cultured in conventional nutrient media modified as appropriate for inducing promoters, selecting transformants or amplifying the LHR gene. The culture conditions, such as
15 temperature, pH and the like, are those previously used with the host cell selected for expression, and will be apparent to the ordinarily skilled artisan.

20
The host cells referred to in this disclosure encompass cells in *in vitro* culture as well as cells which are within a host animal.

25
"Transformation" means introducing DNA into an organism so that the DNA is replicable, either as an extrachromosomal element or by chromosomal integration. Unless indicated otherwise, the method used herein for transformation of the host cells is the
14 method of Graham, F. and van der Eb, A., Virology 52: 456-457 (1973). However, other methods for introducing DNA into cells such as by nuclear injection or by protoplast fusion may also be used.
30 If prokaryotic cells or cells which contain substantial cell wall constructions are used, the preferred method of transfection is calcium treatment using calcium chloride as described by Cohen, F.N. *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA), 69: 2110 (1972).

"Transfection" refers to the introduction of DNA into a host cell whether or not any coding sequences are ultimately expressed. Numerous methods of transfection are known to the ordinarily skilled artisan, for example, CaPO_4 and electroporation. Transformation of the host cell is the indicia of successful transfection.

The LHR is recovered and purified from recombinant cell cultures by known methods, including ammonium sulfate or ethanol precipitation, acid extraction, anion or cation exchange chromatography, phosphocellulose chromatography, immunoaffinity chromatography, hydroxyapatite chromatography and lectin chromatography. Other known purification methods within the scope of this invention utilize immobilized carbohydrates, epidermal growth factor, or complement domains. Moreover, reverse-phase HPLC and chromatography using anti-LHR antibodies are useful for the purification of the LHR. Desirably, low concentrations (approximately 1-5 mM) of calcium ion may be present during purification. The LHR may preferably be purified in the presence of a protease inhibitor such as PMSF.

The LHR is employed therapeutically to compete with the normal binding of lymphocytes to lymphoid tissue. The LHR is therefore particularly useful for organ or graft rejection, and for the treatment of patients with inflammations, such as are for example due to rheumatoid arthritis or other autoimmune diseases. The LHR also finds application in the control of lymphoma metastasis. Finally, the LHR is useful in treating conditions in which there is an accumulation of lymphocytes.

The LHR, and the LHR variants and derivatives are also useful as reagents in diagnostic assays for the LHR, antibodies to the LHR, or competitive inhibitors of LHR biological activity. When insolubilized in accord with known methods, they are useful as agents for purifying anti-LHR antibodies from antisera or hybridoma

PAGE 37

IS MISSING

14 1980, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 77:4030-4034; EP 52322A; EP 36676A; EP 88046A; EP 143949A; EP 142541A; Japanese patent application 83-11808; U.S. Patents 4,485,045 and 4,544,545; and UP 14 102,342A. Ordinarily the liposomes are of the small (about 200-800 5 Angstroms) unilamellar type in which the lipid content is greater than about 30 mol. % cholesterol, the selected proportion being adjusted for the optimal rate of the LHR leakage.

10 Sustained release LHR preparations are implanted or injected into proximity to the site of inflammation or therapy, for example adjacent to arthritic joints or peripheral lymph nodes.

15 The dose of the LHR administered will be dependent upon the properties of the LHR employed, e.g. its activity and biological half-life, the concentration of the LHR in the formulation, the administration route for the LHR, the site and rate of dosage, the clinical tolerance of the patient involved, the pathological condition afflicting the patient and the like, as is well within the skill of the physician.

20 LHR may also be administered along with other pharmacologic agents used to treat the conditions listed above, such as antibiotics, anti-inflammatory agents, and anti-tumor agents. It may also be useful to administer the LHR along with other 25 therapeutic proteins such as gamma-interferon and other immunomodulators.

30 In order to facilitate understanding of the following examples certain frequently occurring methods and/or terms will be described.

35 "Plasmids" are designated by a lower case p preceded and/or followed by capital letters and/or numbers. The starting plasmids herein are either commercially available, publicly available on an unrestricted basis, or can be constructed from available plasmids

in accord with published procedures. In addition, equivalent plasmids to those described are known in the art and will be apparent to the ordinarily skilled artisan.

5 In particular, it is preferred that these plasmids have
some or all of the following characteristics: (1) possess a
minimal number of host-organism sequences; (2) be stable in the
desired host; (3) be capable of being present in a high copy number
10 in the desired host; (4) possess a regulatable promoter; and (5)
have at least one DNA sequence coding for a selectable trait
present on a portion of the plasmid separate from that where the
novel DNA sequence will be inserted. Alteration of plasmids to
meet the above criteria are easily performed by those of ordinary
15 skill in the art in light of the available literature and the
teachings herein. It is to be understood that additional cloning
vectors may now exist or will be discovered which have the above-
identified properties and are therefore suitable for use in the
present invention and these vectors are also contemplated as being
within the scope of this invention.

20

"Digestion" of DNA refers to catalytic cleavage of the DNA
with a restriction enzyme that acts only at certain sequences in
the DNA. The various restriction enzymes used herein are
commercially available and their reaction conditions, cofactors and
25 other requirements were used as would be known to the ordinarily
skilled artisan. For analytical purposes, typically 1 μ g of
plasmid or DNA fragment is used with about 2 units of enzyme in
about 20 μ l of buffer solution. For the purpose of isolating DNA
30 fragments for plasmid construction, typically 5 to 50 μ g of DNA are
digested with 20 to 250 units of enzyme in a larger volume.
Appropriate buffers and substrate amounts for particular
restriction enzymes are specified by the manufacturer. Incubation
times of about 1 hour at 37°C are ordinarily used, but may vary in
3 accordance with the supplier's instructions. After digestion the

reaction is electrophoresed directly on a polyacrylamide gel to isolate the desired fragment.

Size separation of the cleaved fragments is performed using
5 8 percent polyacrylamide gel described by Goeddel, D. *et al.*,
Nucleic Acids Res., 8: 4057 (1980).

9.0
10 "Dephosphorylation" refers to the removal of the terminal
5' phosphates by treatment with bacterial alkaline phosphatase
(BAP). This procedure prevents the two restriction cleaved ends of
a DNA fragment from "circularizing" or forming a closed loop that
would impede insertion of another DNA fragment at the restriction
site. Procedures and reagents for dephosphorylation are
14 conventional. Maniatis, T. *et al.*, Molecular Cloning pp. 133-134
15 (1982). Reactions using BAP are carried out in 50mM Tris at 68°C
to suppress the activity of any exonucleases which are present in
the enzyme preparations. Reactions are run for 1 hour. Following
the reaction the DNA fragment is gel purified.

20 "Oligonucleotides" refers to either a single stranded
polydeoxynucleotide or two complementary polydeoxynucleotide
strands which may be chemically synthesized. Such synthetic
9.0 oligonucleotides have no 5' phosphate and thus will not ligate to
another oligonucleotide without adding a phosphate with an ATP in
25 the presence of a kinase. A synthetic oligonucleotide will ligate
to a fragment that has not been dephosphorylated.

"Ligation" refers to the process of forming phosphodiester
bonds between two double stranded nucleic acid fragments (Maniatis,
30 T. *et al.*, Id., p. 146). Unless otherwise provided, ligation is
accomplished using known buffers and conditions with 10 units of T4
DNA ligase ("ligase") per 0.5 µg of approximately equimolar amounts
3 of the DNA fragments to be ligated.

"Filling" or "blunting" refers to the procedures by which the single stranded end in the cohesive terminus of a restriction enzyme-cleaved nucleic acid is converted to a double strand. This eliminates the cohesive terminus and forms a blunt end. This process is a versatile tool for converting a restriction cut end that may be cohesive with the ends created by only one or a few other restriction enzymes into a terminus compatible with any blunt-cutting restriction endonuclease or other filled cohesive terminus. Typically, blunting is accomplished by incubating 2-15 μ g of the target DNA in 10mM MgCl₂, 1mM dithiothreitol, 50mM NaCl, 10mM Tris (pH 7.5) buffer at about 37°C in the presence of 8 units of the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I and 250 μ M of each of the four deoxynucleoside triphosphates. The incubation generally is terminated after 30 min. phenol and chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation.

It is presently believed that the three-dimensional structure of the compositions of the present invention is important to their functioning as described herein. Therefore, all related structural analogs which mimic the active structure of those formed by the compositions claimed herein are specifically included within the scope of the present invention.

It is understood that the application of the teachings of the present invention to a specific problem or situation will be within the capabilities of one having ordinary skill in the art in light of the teachings contained herein. Examples of the products of the present invention and representative processes for their isolation, use, and manufacture appear below, but should not be construed to limit the invention. All literature citations herein are expressly incorporated by reference.

EXAMPLES

Throughout these examples, all references to the "Mel 14" monoclonal antibody or to "Mel 14" refer to a monoclonal antibody directed against a purported murine form of a lymphocyte surface protein, as described by Gallatin, *et al.*, *supra*, Nature 303, 30 (1983), specifically incorporated by reference. The use of Mel 14 is no longer needed to practice this invention, however, due to the provision herein of full sequences for the DNA and amino acids of the LHR.

Example 1. Purification and Cloning of MLHR.

Isolation of a cDNA Clone Encoding the MLHR.

MLHR was isolated from detergent-treated mouse spleens by immunoaffinity chromatography using the Mel 14 monoclonal antibody.

In a typical preparation, 300 spleens from ICR female mice (16 weeks old) were minced and then homogenized with a Potter-Elvehjem tissue grinder in 180 ml of 2% Triton X-100 in Dulbecco's PBS containing 1 mM PMSF and 1% aprotinin. Lysis was continued for 30 minutes on a shaker at 4 °C. The lysate was centrifuged successively at 2,000 X G for 5 minutes and at 40,000 X G for 30 minutes.

The supernatant was filtered through Nitex screen and then precleared by adsorption with rat serum coupled to cyanogen bromide-activated Sepharose 4B (10 ml of packed gel). The rat serum was diluted 1:10 for coupling with conjugation carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions. The flow through was applied to a 3 ml column of MEL-14 antibody coupled at 0.5 mg per ml to Sepharose 4B. All column buffers contained sodium azide at 0.02%.

The column was washed with 25 ml of 2% Triton X-100 in PBS followed by 25 ml of 10 mM CHAPS in the same buffer. Antigen was

released by addition of 10 ml of 10 mM CHAPS in 100 mM glycine, 200 mM NaCl, pH 3 and neutralized by collection into 1 ml of 1M TRIS HCl, pH 7.6. After the column was washed with 20 mM triethylamine, 200 mM NaCl, pH 11 and re-equilibrated in 10 mM CHAPS in PBS, the
5 neutralized antigen, diluted into 100 ml of the column buffer, was re-applied and the wash and release steps were repeated.

The purified protein was concentrated in a Centricon 30 (Amicon, Inc.) and analyzed by SDS-PAGE (7.5% acrylamide) with the
10 use of silver staining for visualization. A typical purification yielded 30-40 µg of antigen per 300 mice based upon comparisons with orosomucoid standards.

As can be seen in Fig. 4A, a polyacrylamide gel of the
15 purified material showed a diffuse band migrating at approximately 90,000 daltons, and a higher molecular weight protein at around 180,000 daltons. The ratio of the 90,000 dalton to the 180,000 dalton component was 10:1 or greater in all of a large series of preparations. The material was visualized by silver staining of a
20 10% polyacrylamide gel.

Gas phase Edman degradation of the 90,000 dalton band resulted in the identification of a single N-terminal sequence (Fig. 4B), including the very N-terminal amino acid. 38 N-terminal
25 amino acids were identified, with four gaps (X) at positions 1,19,33, and 34. The asparagine (N) at position 22 was inferred from the absence of an amino acid signal at this position combined with the following tyrosine (Y) and threonine (T) residues, resulting in an N-linked glycosylation site consensus sequence
30 (NXT/S).

The 13-sequence residue shown in Fig. 4B above the 38 residue long N-terminus is that previously deduced by Siegelman *et al.*, *supra*, using radioactively-labelled amino acid sequencing,

which shows a high degree of homology (11 of 13 residues) with the sequence of the LHR determined here.

5 No ubiquitin sequence was obtained in any of the three sequencing runs that were done with two separate MLHR preparations. Conceivably, this modification was absent in the mouse splenocytes or the N-terminus of the ubiquitin is blocked to Edman degradation in the LHR from this source.

10 The amino acid sequences of Fig. 2 were compared with known sequences in the Dayhoff protein data base, through use of the
14 algorithm of Lipman, D. et al., Science 227, 1435-1441 (1981).

15 The residues in Fig. 4B which are underlined between amino acids 7 and 15 were chosen to produce the oligonucleotide probe shown in Fig. 4C. A 32-fold redundant 26-mer oligonucleotide probe was designed from these residues and synthesized on an Applied Biosystems oligonucleotide synthesizer. All of the possible codon
20 redundancies were included in this probe, with the exception of the proline at position 9, where the codon CCC was chosen based upon mammalian codon usage rules.

25 Screening of a murine spleen cDNA library obtained from dissected mouse spleens with this probe resulted in the isolation of a single hybridizing cDNA clone. Procedurally, 600,000 plaques from an oligo dT-primed lambda gt 10 murine spleen cDNA library produced from mRNA isolated from murine splenocytes with 5 µg/ml
30 Concanavalin A for 6 hours were plated at 50,000 phage per plate (12 plates) and hybridized with the p³² labeled 32-fold redundant 26-mer oligonucleotide probe shown in Fig. 4C, in 20% formamide, 5XSSC (150 mM NaCl, 15mM trisodium citrate), 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH7.6), 5X Denhardt's solution, 10% dextran sulfate, and 20 micrograms/ml denatured, sheared salmon sperm DNA overnight at 42 °C. These parameters are referred to herein as "stringent
35 conditions". The filters were washed in 1X SSC, 0.1% SDS at 42

31
°C for 2X 30 minutes and autoradiographed at -70 °C overnight. A single duplicate positive clone was rescreened, the EcoRI insert was isolated and inserted into M13 or PUC 118/119 vectors and the nucleotide sequence determined from single stranded templates using sequence-specific primers.

14 10
Figure 2 shows the complete DNA sequence of the 2.2 kilobase EcoRI insert contained in this bacteriophage. The longest open reading frame begins with a methionine codon at position 106-108. A Kozak box homology is found surrounding this methionine codon, suggesting that this codon probably functions in initiating protein translation. A protein sequence containing 373 amino acids of approximately 42,200 daltons molecular weight is encoded within this open reading frame. The translated protein shows a sequence from residues 40 to 76 that corresponds exactly with the N-terminal amino acid sequence determined from the isolated MLHR.

20
This result suggests that the mature N-terminus of the MLHR begins with the tryptophan residue at position 39. However, it is believed that some proteolytic processing of the actual N-terminus of the LHR may have occurred during the isolation of the protein.

25
A hydrophobicity profile of the protein reveals an N-terminally located hydrophobic domain that could function as a signal sequence for insertion into the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum. The deduced sequence for positions 39 to 333 is predominantly hydrophilic followed by a 22 residue hydrophobic domain, which is characteristic of a stop transfer or membrane anchoring domain.

30
The putative intracellular region at the very C-terminus of the protein is quite short, only 17 residues in length. On the immediate C-terminal side of the predicted membrane-spanning domain are several basic amino acids, a feature typical of junctions between membrane anchors and cytoplasmic domains of cell surface

receptors, Yarden *et al.*, Nature. A single serine residue, potentially a site for phosphorylation, is present within the putative cytoplasmic domain.

5 The protein contains ten potential N-linked glycosylation sites, all of which are within the projected extracellular domain. The absence of asparagine at position 60 (residue 22 of the mature protein) in the peptide sequencing analysis confirms glycosylation at this site and establishes the extracellular orientation of this
10 region. The coding region contains a total of 25 cysteine residues, although 4 of these cysteine residues are located within the putative leader sequence.

cl
p
15
14
20
Protein Motifs Within the MLHR

As shown in Fig. 6, comparison of the deduced MLHR amino acid sequence to other proteins in the Dayhoff protein sequence databank by using the **fastp** program (Lipman, D., and Pearson, W., Science 227, 1435-1441, 1985) revealed a number of interesting sequence
20 homologies.

25 Proteins with the highest sequence homology scores are shown with boxes surrounding the regions of greatest sequence homology. The numbers at the beginning of the sequences show the position within the proteins where these homologous sequences are located.

30 Fig. 6A shows that the N-terminal motif of the LHR (residues 39 to 155) has certain carbohydrate binding protein homologies, as listed (the percentage of homology of these sequences to the MuLHR are given in parentheses, and the references indicated are provided after the Examples): Drickamer; the amino acid residues found by Drickamer *et al.* (1), MLHR; the MLHR sequence, Hu.HepLec (27.8%); human hepatic lectin (2), Barn.Lec
35 (25%); acorn barnacle lectin (3), Ra. HepLec (23.5%); rat hepatic

lectin (4), Ch.HepLec (27.5%); chicken hepatic lectin (5), Hu.IgERec (28.6%); human IgE receptor (6), RaHepLec2 (22.6%); rat hepatic lectin 2 (7), Ra.ASGRec (22.6%); rat asialoglycoprotein receptor (8), Ra.IRP (25.6%); rat islet regenerating protein (9), Ra.MBP (26.1%); rat mannose binding protein (10), Ra.MBDA (26.1%); rat mannose binding protein precursor A (11), Ra.KCBP (27%); rat Kupffer cell binding protein (12), FlyLec (23.1%); flesh fly (Sarcophaga) lectin (13), and Rab.Surf (20.9%); rabbit lung surfactant (14).

As can be seen, Fig. 6A shows that the most N-terminally localized motif of the LHR shows a high degree of homology with a number of calcium-dependent animal lectins, i.e., C-type lectins (1). These include but are not limited to, various hepatic sugar binding proteins from chicken, rat, and human, soluble mannose-binding lectins, a lectin from Kupffer cells, the asialoglycoprotein receptor, a cartilage proteoglycan core protein, pulmonary surfactant apoproteins, and two invertebrate lectins from the flesh fly and acorn barnacle. Although the complement of "invariant" amino acids initially recognized by Drickamer and colleagues, *supra*, as common to C-type animal lectins are not completely conserved in the carbohydrate binding domain of the MLHR, the degree of homology at these residues and at other positions is apparent. The known lectins belonging to the C-type family exhibit a range of sugar-binding specificities including oligosaccharides with terminal galactose, N-acetylglucosamine, and mannose (1).

Interestingly, the lectin domains of all of these proteins except the acorn barnacle lectin and the flesh fly lectin are located in their respective carboxy-termini, suggesting that this MLHR domain may be contained in an exon that can be shuffled to different proteins for different functions.

The fact that there are many residues that are found to be invariant in all of these carbohydrate binding proteins, strongly suggests that this region functions as a carbohydrate binding domain in the MLHR and apparently explains the observed ability of lymphocytes to bind to the specialized endothelium of lymphoid tissue in a sugar- and calcium-dependent manner. It is believed that the carbohydrate binding domain of the LHR alone, without any flanking LHR regions, is desirably used in the practice of this invention.

The next motif (residues 160-193) that is found almost immediately after the completion of the carbohydrate binding domain shows a high degree of homology to the epidermal growth factor (egf) family. Fig. 6B shows epidermal growth factor (egf) homologies: MLHR; the MLHR sequence, Notch (38.5%); the *Drosophila melanogaster notch* locus (15), *S.purp* (31.7%); *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* egf-like protein (16), Pro.Z (34.1%); bovine protein Z (17), Fact.X (34.2%); coagulation factor X (18), Fact.VII (27.3%); coagulation factor VII (19), Fact.IX (33.3%); coagulation factor IX (20), Lin-12 (32.1%); *Caenorhabditis elegans* Lin-12 locus (21), Fact. XII (26%); coagulation factor XII (22), and Mu.egf (30%); murine egf (23).

As can be seen in Fig. 6B, the greatest degree of homology in this region of the MLHR is found with the *Drosophila* neurogenic locus, *notch*, although there is also significant homology to a number of other members of this large family. The variable location of this domain among the members of this family suggests that this region may be contained within a genomic segment that can be shuffled between different proteins for different functions.

In addition to 6 cysteine residues, virtually all members of this family share three glycine residues. The conservation of cysteine and glycine residues is consistent with the possibility of a structural role for this region in the LHR. It is believed that

50

this domain may place the N-terminally localized carbohydrate binding region in an appropriate orientation for ligand interaction. It is further believed that this domain may serve to strengthen the interaction between the lymphocyte and endothelium by binding to an egf-receptor homologue on the endothelium surface.

The final protein motif in the extracellular region of the MLHR is encoded from amino acids 197 to 328. This region of the glycoprotein contains two direct repeats of a 62 residue sequence that contains an amino acid motif that bears a high degree of homology to a number of complement factor binding proteins (Fig. 6C).

Fig. 6C shows complement binding protein homologies: MLHR; MLHR sequence, HuComH (31.9%); human complement protein H precursor, (24), MuComH (28.9%); murine complement protein H precursor (25), HuBeta (25.6%); human beta-2-glycoprotein I (26), HuCR1 (29.9%); human CR1 (27), EBV/3d (25%)⁶; human Epstein-Barr virus/C3d receptor (28), HuC2 (27.1%); human complement C2 precursor (29), HuB (23.1%); human complement factor B (30), MuC4b (22%); murine C4b-binding precursor (31), HuC1s (29.2%); human C1s zymogen (32), HuC4b (26.1%); human C4b binding protein (33), HuDAF (27.1%); human decay accelerating factor (34), VacSecP (26.2%); vaccinia virus secretory peptide (35).

These proteins, which encode a wide range of multiples of this repeated domain, include, among others, the human and murine complement H precursors, the human beta 2 glycoprotein, the Epstein Barr virus/C3d receptor, the human C4b binding protein, the decay accelerating factor, and the vaccinia virus secretory polypeptide.

Figure 7C shows the homologies between the two direct repeats in the MLHR and the direct repeats found in proteins contained within the complement binding family. Many of the amino acids that are conserved in this class of complement binding

proteins, including a number of conserved cysteine residues, are also found in the 2 repeats in this region of the MLHR.

5 Interestingly, the two repeats contained within the MLHR
14 are not only exact duplications of each other at the amino acid
level, they also show exact homology at the nucleotide sequence
level (nucleotide residues 685-865 and 866-1056). While it is
possible that this result is due to a cloning artifact, a
10 duplicated region has been found in a number of other clones
isolated from a separate cDNA library produced from the MLHR
expressing cell line, 38C13 (available from Stanford University,
Palo Alto, California, U.S.A.), as well as in a human homologue of
the MLHR (discussed, *infra*). Furthermore, a number of other
15 genes, most notably the Lp(a) gene, show an even higher degree of
intragenic repeat sequence conservation of this domain. These
results suggest that the MLHR, like other members of the
complement binding family, contains multiple repeats of this
binding domain.

20 In conclusion, it appears that the extracellular region of the
MLHR contains three separate protein motifs that have been joined
together to serve a new function or functions. A summary of the
protein motifs contained within this glycoprotein is shown in Fig.
7.

25

Example 2. Cloning of HuLHR.

30 Generally as described in the previous example, the 2.2 kb
EcoR1 insert of the murine Mel 14 antigen cDNA clone described
above was isolated, labeled to high specific activity by randomly
primed DNA polymerase synthesis with p^{32} triphosphates, and used
H to screen 600,000 clones from an oligo dT primed lambda gt10 cDNA
library derived from human peripheral blood lymphocyte mRNA
35 obtained from primary cells. The filters were hybridized overnight

3
5
13 H
at 42 °C in 40% formamide, 5x SSC (1x SSC is 30 mM NaCl, 3 mM trisodium citrate), 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH6.8), 10% dextran sulfate, 5x Denhardt's solution and 20 micrograms/ml sheared, boiled salmon sperm DNA. They were washed 2x 40 minutes in 0.2x SSC, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate at 55 °C. 12 clones (approximately 1 positive per plate of 50,000 phage) were picked, and the largest EcoR1 insert (~2.2kilobases) was isolated and the DNA sequence was determined by dideoxynucleotide sequencing in the bacteriophage ml3 using sequence-specific primers.

10
18 H
15
14
20
This ~2.2 kb clone encoded an open reading frame of 372 amino acids with a molecular weight of approximately 42,200 daltons that began with a methionine which was preceded by a Kozak box homology. The encoded protein contained 26 cysteine residues and 8 potential N-linked glycosylation sites. A highly hydrophobic region at the N-terminus of the protein (residues 20-33) was a potential signal sequence, while another highly hydrophobic C-terminally located region of 22 amino acids in length (residues 335-357) was a potential stop transfer or membrane anchoring domain. This C-terminal hydrophobic region was followed by a charged, presumably cytoplasmic, region.

25
13
30
Comparison of the nucleotide sequence of this human clone with that previously found for the MLHR showed a high degree of overall DNA sequence homology (~83%). The relative degrees of amino acid sequence conservation between the MLHR and the HuLHR in each of the LHR domains are: carbohydrate binding domain--83%; egf-like domain--82%; complement binding repeat 1--79%; complement binding repeat 2--63%; overall complement binding domain--71%; and transmembrane domain-- 96%.

35
Comparison of the published Hermes sequence, Jalkanen, *supra*, with the HuLHR sequence of Fig. 1 reveals a lack of sequence homology.

cl ~

Example 3. Expression of the MLHR.

^

5 In order to conclusively prove that the murine cDNA clone isolated here encoded the MLHR, the clone was inserted into an expression vector and analyzed in a transient cell transfection assay. Expression of the HuLHR was performed in a similar fashion.

10 The Eco R1 fragment containing the open reading frame described above (the 2.2 kilobase EcoR1 fragment whose sequence is shown in Fig. 2) was isolated and ligated into the pRK5 vector which contains a cytomegalovirus promoter (Eaton, D., et al., Biochemistry 25, 8343-8347, 1986; U.S.S.N. 07/097,472). A plasmid containing the inserted cDNA in the correct orientation relative to the promoter was selected and transfected onto 293 human embryonic kidney cells using CaPO₄ precipitation.

13, 14

14

15

11

20 After 2 days the cells were incubated with 500 microcuries each of S³⁵ cysteine and methionine. Lysates and supernatants were prepared as previously described (Lasky, L., et al., Cell 50, 975-985, 1987) and immunoprecipitated with Mel 14 monoclonal antibody (purified by immunoaffinity chromatography) by utilizing an anti-rat IgG polyclonal antibody in a sandwich between the Mel 14 monoclonal antibody and protein A sepharose.

14

25

30 At the same time, the B-cell lymphoma, 38C13, a cell known to express the MLHR, were either labeled metabolically with either methionine or cysteine, for analysis of the supernatant MLHR, or the cell-surface glycoproteins were labeled with I¹²⁵ and lactoperoxidase for analysis of cell-associated LHR and analyzed by Mel 14 antibody immunoprecipitation.

30

H

The resultant immunoprecipitates were analyzed on 7.5% polyacrylamide SDS gels and autoradiographed overnight at -70 °C.

The results of these assays are shown in Fig. 5. In that figure, the lanes A - F signify the following:

--A. Lysates of 293 cells transfected with a MLHR expression plasmid immunoprecipitated with Mel 14 monoclonal antibody.

--B. Supernatants of 293 cells transfected with a MLHR expression plasmid immunoprecipitated with Mel 14 monoclonal antibody.

--C. Lysates of 293 cells transfected with a plasmid expressing the HIV gp120 envelope glycoprotein immunoprecipitated with the Mel 14 monoclonal antibody.

--D. Supernatants of 293 cells transfected with the HIV envelope expression plasmid immunoprecipitated with the Mel 14 monoclonal antibody.

--E. Supernatants of 38C13 cells immunoprecipitated with the Mel 14 monoclonal antibody.

--F. Lysates of 38C13 cells surface labeled with I^{125} and immunoprecipitated with the Mel 14 monoclonal antibody.

As can be seen in Fig. 5, cells transfected with this construct produce two cell-associated proteins that reacted specifically with the Mel 14 antibody. The cell associated proteins migrated at approximately 70,000 daltons and 85,000 daltons, suggesting that the 42,200 dalton core protein becomes glycosylated in the transfected cells. The larger band was shifted in molecular weight following sialidase treatment (data not shown), suggesting that it is a relatively mature form of the glycoprotein, whereas the lower molecular weight band was resistant to the enzyme, indicating that it may be a precursor form.

FACs analysis of transiently transfected cell lines with

the Mel 14 antibody showed that a portion of the LHR expressed in these cells was detectable on the cell surface (data not shown).

5 The higher molecular weight glycoprotein produced in the transfected cell line was found to be slightly smaller than that produced by the Peripheral Lymph Node-homing B-cell lymphoma, 38C13 (Fig. 5, lane F), a result that has been found in other transfected cell lines and may be due to cell-specific differences in glycosylation.

10 Interestingly, both the 38C13 cells and the transfected human cells appeared to shed a smaller molecular weight form of the MLHR into the medium (Fig. 5, lanes B and E). The nature of this shed molecule is unclear, although its reduced molecular weight suggests that it may be a cleavage product of the cell surface form resulting from proteolysis near the membrane anchor.

15 In conclusion, these results convincingly demonstrate that the cDNA clone that we have isolated encodes the MLHR.

CL

P⁶ L⁵
P⁶ L⁶

10
P⁶ L⁶
15
P⁶ L⁶
20
P⁶ L⁶
25
30
35

REFERENCES TO THE EXAMPLES

1. Drickamer, K., J. Biol. Chem. 263, 9557 (1988);
Drickamer, K., Kidney Int. 32, S167 (1987).
2. Spiess, M., *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A.
82, 6465 (1985).
3. Muramoto, K., *et al.*, Biochem Biophys. Acta 874,
285 (1986).
4. Leung, J., *et al.*, J. Biol. Chem. 260, 12523
(1985); Holland, E., *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad.
Sci., U.S.A. 81, 7338 (1984).
5. Drickamer, K., J. Biol. Chem. 256, 5827 (1981).
6. Kikutani, H., *et al.*, Cell 47, 657 (1986).
7. McPhaul, M., *et al.*, Molec. Cell. Biol. 7, 1841 (1987).
8. Halberg, D., *et al.*, J. Biol. Chem. 262, 9828 (1987).
9. Terzaono, K., *et al.*, J. Biol. Chem. 263, 2111
(1988).
10. Drickamer, K., *et al.*, J. Biol. Chem. 262, 2582 (1987).
11. Drickamer, K., *et al.*, J. Biol. Chem. 261, 6878 (1986).
12. Hoyle, G., *et al.*, J. Biol. Chem. 263, 7487 (1988).
13. Takahashi, H., *et al.*, J. Biol. Chem. 260, 12228 (1985).

5.7

P 5 B

5

14. Boggaram, V., et al., J. Biol. Chem. 263, 2939 (1988).

15. Kidd, S., et al., Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 3094 (1986).

16. Hursh, D., et al., Science 237, 1487 (1987).

17. Hojrup, P., et al., FEBS Lett. 184, 333 (1985).

10 18. Fung, M., et al., Nucl. Acids Res. 12, 4481 (1984).

19. Takeya, H. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 76, 4990 (1979).

15 20. McMullen, B., et al., Biochem Biophys. Res. Commun. 115, 8 (1983).

21. Greenwald, I., Cell 43, 583 (1985).

20 22. Cool, D., et al., Biol. Chem. 260, 13666 (1985).

23. Gray, A., et al., Nature 303, 722 (1983).

24. Schulz, T., et al., Eur. J. Immunol. 16, 1351 (1986).

25 25. Kristensen, T., et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 83, 3963 (1986).

26. Lozier, J., et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 81, 3640 (1984).

30 27. Bentley, D. Biochem. J. 239, 339 (1986).

28. Moore, M., et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 84, 9194 (1987).

35

53

P I B

- 5
- 10
- 15
29. Bentley, D., et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 81, 1212 (1984).
30. Mole, J., et al., J. Biol. Chem. 259, 3407 (1984).
31. DiScipio, R., et al., J. Biol. Chem. 263, 549 (1988).
32. Kusomoto, H., et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 85, 7307 (1988).
33. Lintin, S., et al., FEBS Lett. 204, 77 (1986).
34. Caras, I., et al., Nature 325, 545 (1987).
35. Kotwal, G., et al., Nature 335, 176 (1988).

59

Can we claim: claim 1 ->